

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of : Confirmation No. 9451

Takeshi KOKADO et al. : Docket No. 2001-0153A

Serial No. 09/781,153 : Group Art Unit 2154

Filed February 13, 2001 : Examiner Mohammad A. Siddiqi

DATA TRANSMISSION SYSTEM : Mail Stop Amendment

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

THE COMMISSIONER IS AUTHORIZED TO CHARGE ANY DEFICIENCY IN THE FEES FOR THIS PAPER TO DEPOSIT ACCOUNT NO. 23-0975

Sir:

Responsive to the Office Action dated April 5, 2005, the Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and reexamination of the application in view of the following remarks.

In item 4 on page 3 of the Office Action, claims 35-40, 44, 47, 52-63 and 67-68 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jorgensen (U.S. 6,862,622) in view of Miller et al. (U.S. 5,920,701). This rejection is respectfully traversed for the following reasons.

As described beginning at line 9 on page 2 of the substitute specification, conventional data transmission systems can use a plurality of communications circuits, but the conventional data transmission systems do not efficiently use an optimal communications circuit since data is unconditionally sent out to the same communications circuit regardless of the number of users that are receiving the data. Accordingly, the server in the conventional data transmission system transmits data on a communications circuit which is unsuitable for the transmission of the data when the bandwidth thereof is limited or when a number of users are requesting the same data.